

اشتقاق دالة تنبؤ بيزية لنماذج

ARMAX الموسمية

دكتور / جمال أحمد محمد الشوادفي

كلية التجارة بنين - جامعة الأزهر

قسم الإحصاء والرياضيات

إذا

كانت لديك سلسلتين زمنيتين (y_t, x_t) تعتمد احدهما (y_t) على الاخرى (x_t) من خلال نموذج ARMAX الموسمي وكنت بحاجة الى التنبؤ بالقيم المستقبلية للسلسلة y_t فإن هذا البحث يقدم طريقة - باستخدام اسلوب بيز - للحصول على مثل هذه التنبؤات . تتميز هذه الطريقة بأنها تجمع بين ميزة استخدام اسلوب بيز ، واحد أهم النماذج المناسبة لتحليل السلاسل الزمنية في مجالات الاقتصاد والاعمال والبيئة وغيرها ، وهو نموذج ARMAX الموسمي . كما أن استخدام طريقة مناسبة للتنبؤ سيكون مفيدا جدا لصانعي القرارات في مثل هذه المجالات .

التنبؤ للمشكلة الرئيسية في هذا البحث في صعوبة التعامل الرياضي والعددي مع دالة الامكان في هذه الحالة ، وصعوبة اختيار دالة توزيع قبلية مناسبة لها . وينتج عن ذلك عدم وجود صيغة قياسية لدوال الاحتمال التنبؤية . وقد أمكن التغلب على هذه المشكلة باستخدام دالة مناسبة كتقريب لدالة الامكان الضربية ثم اختيار التوزيع القبلي الملائم لها . والتوزيع القبلي يأخذ صورة دالة توزيع جاما-المعناد Normal - Gamma density أو صورة دالة عديمة المعلومات Non-Informative prior density .

وبناء على دالة الامكان المقترحة والتوزيع القبلي المرافق ، فقد أمكن اثبات أن دالة التنبؤ الحدية للمشاهدة المستقبلية الاولى تأخذ شكل توزيع t غير المركزي Non-central univariate t distribution ، كذلك فإنه أمكن اثبات أن دالة التنبؤ الضربية للمشاهدات المستقبلية بعد الاولى ستأخذ أيضا شكل توزيع t غير المركزي ، ومن ثم يمكن بسهولة استخدام الطريقة المقترحة لايجاد التوقع وانشاء فترات ثقة لها أعلى كثافة تنبؤية HPD regions لأي مشاهدة مستقبلية.

- (11) Lutkepohi , Helmut (1993)
Introduction to Multiple Time Series Analysis . Springer
Verlag , Berlin , Heidelberg ,Germany.
- (12) McGraw , G. A. and et al (1993)
Conditions for the Equivalence of ARMAX and ARX
Systems , IEEE Transactions in Automatic Control ,
Vol. 38 , No. 4 , pp. 632-636
- (13) Mills ,Ternce C. (1991)
Time Series Techniques for Economists , Cambridge
Univerisity Press Cambridge , England.
- (14) Nerlove , M. (1972)
"lags in Economic Behaviour " Econometrica 39 ,
pp. 359-382.
- (15) Newbold , Paul (1974)
The Exact Likelihood function for a Mixed Autoregressive
Moving Average Process , Biometrika , Vol. 61, pp. 423-426.
- (16) Spanoss, A.(1986)
Statistical Foundation of Econometric Modeling
,Cambridge University Press , Cambridge ,U.K.
- (17) Wei ,William W.S. (1990)
Time Series Analysis Univariate and Multivariate
Methods , Addison Wisely Publishing Company , Inc.
Redwood City , California , U.S.A.

(5) Chatfield (1980)

The Analysis of Time Series , Theory and Practice ,
Chapman and Hill Ltd. , London.

(6) Deistler , M. (1980)

The Properties of the Parameterization of ARMAX Systems
and Their Relivance for Structural Estimation and Dynamic
Specification , The Fourth World Congress of the
Econometric Socitey in Aix-en - Provence , France.

(7) Gaynor ,Patricia E. and Kirkpatrick Rickey C.(1994)

Time Series Modeling and Forecasting in Business and
Economics, McGrawHill , Inc. New York , U.S.A.

(8) Harvey A.C. (1981)

The Econometric Analysis of Time sereis , Philip Allan
Puplishers Limited ,Market Place ,Deddington Oxford
Ox5 45E, Great Britian.

(9) Hendry , D.F. and et al (1985)

" Dynamic Specification " in Z. Grilichess and M.S.
Intriligator (Editors), Handbook of Econometrics
,volume 11 pp.1023 -1100 , Amestrдам North-Holand.

(10) Judge G.G. and et al (1985)

The Theory and Practice of Econometrics , 2nd Edition
,Wiley New York , U.S.A.

4- CONCLUSIONS:

The main purpose of this paper is to develop a convenient Bayesian approach to forecast the future observations for the seasonal ARMAX model. This was achieved by approximating the conditional likelihood function by a normal gamma function. Thus the marginal predictive distribution of the first future observation is approximated by a non-central univariate t distribution, and the conditional predictive distribution of observations at higher lags are approximated by a non-central univariate t distribution. In addition it was shown that the highest predictive density region (HPD region) for any future observation may be constructed.

REFERENCES

- (1) Ali M. M. (1977)
Analysis of Autoregressive Moving Average Models:
Estimation and Prediction, *Biometrika*, Vol. 64, pp. 535-545.
- (2) Bierens, Herman, J. (1987)
ARMAX Model Specification Testing With an Application to
Unemployment in the Netherlands, *Ei-Savie Science
Publishers B.V. North Holland.*
- (3) Broemeling, L. D. and Shaarawy, S. (1985)
A Bayesian Analysis of Time Series, *Contribution to
Economic Analysis Studies in Bayesian Econometrics and
Statistics in Honor of Bruno de Finetti*, Edited by Zellner and
Goal, North Holland, Amsterdam.
- (4) Box, G. E. P. and Jenkins, G. M. (1976)
Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control, Holden
Day, San Francisco.

$$E(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1}, S_n) + t_{(\alpha/2, n+2a-m+1)} \cdot [p(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1}, S_n)]^{-0.5} \dots (3.8)$$

where $E(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1}, S_n)$ and $p(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1}, S_n)$ are as it defined in (3.5) and (3.6) respectively, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and t is obtained from the student's tables.

Thus, using the conditional predictive density of y_{n+k} , $k > 2$, given $y_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$, $y_{n+2} = y_{n+2}$,, $y_{n+k-1} = y_{n+k-1}$, one may forecast the k th future observation, and hence by a sequence of conditional expectations, one may forecast k steps ahead.

Suppose that one can't or unwilling to specify the parameters a , b , μ , and R of the normal gamma prior density, (2.8), one might prefer to use a non-informative prior density, (2.9). For such case, the following corollary may be used.

Corollary (3.2)

If the approximate likelihood function (2.7) is combined with the non-informative prior density (2.9), the marginal predictive density of the first future observation, y_{n+1} , will be a non-central univariate t distribution with $df = n-m-K$ degrees of freedom, location

$$E(y_{n+1} | S_n) = (1 - B_1' A^{-1} B_1)^{-1} B_1' A^{-1} B \dots (3.9)$$

and precision :

$$P(y_{n+1} | S_n) = \frac{(n+K-m)}{Y'Y - B'A^{-1}B - E^2/D} \dots (3.10)$$

where A , B_1 and B are as defined in (3.3).

By a sequence of conditional expectations, one may forecast k steps ahead with the aid of corollaries (3.1) and (3.2).

where $E(y_{n+1} | S_n)$ and $p(y_{n+1}|s_n)$ are as it defined in (3.1) and (3.2) respectively , $0 < \alpha < 1$, and t is obtained from the student t tables.

The following corollary shows how one can forecast k step ahead , $k > 2$, assuming we have n observations generated by a seasonal ARMAX model.

Corollary (3.1)

If the approximate conditional likelihood function (2.7) is combined with the normal gamma prior density (2.8) , The conditional predictive density of the second future observation , y_{n+2} , will be a non-central univariate t distribution with $df = n+2a-m+1$ degrees of freedom , location ;

$$E(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1} , S_n) = E / D \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.5)$$

and precision

$$P(y_{n+2} | y_{n+1} , S_n) = \frac{df D}{F-E^2/D} \quad \dots\dots(3.6)$$

where;

S_n , μ , a , b and R are as it were defined in (2.7) and (2.8) , $m = \max(p+Ps,h+HS)$, and Y , E , D , F are as it were defined in (3.3), but the quantities A, B_1 , B are modified by letting $n=n+1$, $y_{n+1} = E(y_{n+1}|s_n)$,(3.2) , and

$$\hat{e}_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - Y_1' \cdot \beta_1 + X_1' \cdot \beta_2 + \hat{E}_1' \cdot \beta_3 \quad \dots\dots(3.7)$$

In addition , a highest predictive density region (HPD region) for y_{n+2} of content $(1- \alpha)$ is:

THEORM(3.1)

If the approximate conditional likelihood function (2.7) is combined with the normal gamma prior density (2.8) , The marginal predictive density of the first future observation , y_{n+1} , will be a non-central univariate t distribution with $df = n+2a-m$ degrees of freedom , location ;

$$E(y_{n+1} | S_n) = E/D \dots\dots\dots(3.1)$$

and precision

$$P(y_{n+1} | S_n) = \frac{df D}{F-E^2/D} \dots\dots\dots(3.2)$$

where

$$E = B_1' (A+R)^{-1} (B+R\mu)$$

$$D = 1-B_1'(A+R)^{-1}B_1$$

$$F = \mu'R\mu + 2b + Y'Y - (B+R\mu)'(A+R)^{-1}(B+R\mu) \dots\dots(3.3)$$

and S_n , μ , a , b and R are as it were defined in (2.7) and (2.8) , $m = \max(p+P_s, h+H_s)$.

$$Y = (y_{m+1} \ y_{m+2} \ \dots\dots\dots \ y_n)'$$

$B = A_0' Y$, $A = A_0' A_0 + B_1 B_1'$, and A_0 is $(n-m) \cdot k$ matrix in the form

$A_0 = (Y_{t-1}' : X_t' : \hat{E}_{t-1}')$, and $B_1 = (Y_1' : X_1' : \hat{E}_1')$ is a vector of order $k \cdot 1$

$$Y_1 = (y_n \ \dots\dots\dots \ y_{n-p+1} \ y_{n-s+1} \ \dots \ y_{n-P_s+1} \ y_{n-s} \ \dots \ y_{n-p-P_s+1})'$$

$$X_1 = (x_{n+1} \ x_n \ \dots \ x_{n-h+1} \ x_{n-s+1} \ \dots \ x_{n-P_s+1} \ x_{n-s} \ \dots \ x_{n-h-H_s+1})'$$

$$\hat{E}_1 = (\hat{e}_n \ \dots\dots\dots \ \hat{e}_{n-h+1} \ \hat{e}_{n-s+1} \ \dots \ \hat{e}_{n-H_s+1} \ \hat{e}_{n-s} \ \dots \ \hat{e}_{n-q-Q_s+1})'$$

In addition , a highest predictive density region (HPD region) for y_{n+1} of content $(1 - \alpha)$ is:

$$E(y_{n+1} | S_n) + t_{(\alpha/2, n+2a-m)} \cdot [p(y_{n+1}|S_n)]^{-0.5} \dots\dots\dots (3.4)$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are as it were defined in (2.3) and $B, S_n, Y, Y_{t-1}, X_t, E_{t-1}$, are as it were defined in (2.5), $\tau > 0$, $m = \max(p+P_s, h+H_s)$.

An appropriate choice of prior density function is normal-gamma in the form;

$$H(B, \tau) \propto \tau^{0.5(2a+k)-1} \exp(-\tau/2)((B-\mu)'R(B-\mu) + 2b) \dots\dots\dots(2.8)$$

where $\tau > 0, a > 0, b > 0, \mu$ is the vector of conditional expectation of B , given τ and R is a positive definite matrix of order $K = p+P+pP+h+H+hH+q+Q+qQ+1$

However, if there is no prior information one may use a non-informative prior density function in the form :

$$H(B, \tau) \propto \tau^{-1}, \tau > 0 \dots\dots\dots(2.9)$$

Equation (2.9) may be obtained from the normal gamma density, (2.8), by letting $a = -k/2, b = 0$, and $R = 0_{K \times K}$

3. BAYESIAN FORECASTING FOR THE SEASONAL ARMAX MODEL

The Bayesian approach requires information about the parameters in the form of the proper or improper prior density function, like functions (2.8) and (2.9) respectively. The prior density function is combined with the likelihood function to give predictive inferences. The marginal predictive density of the first future observation, Y_{n+1} , will be introduced through the following theorem:

Many investigations for simplifying the likelihood function can be found in Newbold (1974), Ali (1977), Broemeling and Shaarawy (1985) and others. The proposed approximation here is similar to the one used by Broemeling and Shaarawy (1985) with nonseasonal ARMA model and is based on: equating the initial values of the errors $E_0 = (e_m \ e_{m-1} \ \dots \ e_{m-q-Qs+1})'$ by their unconditional expectation namely zero and replacing the exact residuals by their least squares estimates. The least squares estimates, say $\hat{E} = (\hat{e}_{m+1} \ \hat{e}_{m+2} \ \dots \ \hat{e}_n)'$ are obtained by searching over the parameters space for the value of vector B , say \hat{B} , which minimizes the residuals sum of squares.

$$E'E = \sum_{t=m+1}^n e_t^2 \quad \dots (2.6)$$

where $m = \max(p+Ps, h+Hs)$.

Using the estimated residuals

$\hat{E} = (\hat{e}_{m+1} \ \hat{e}_{m+2} \ \dots \ \hat{e}_n)'$, and equating the initial values of the errors $E_0 = (e_m \ e_{m-1} \ \dots \ e_{m-q-Qs+1})'$ by their unconditional expectation namely zero, we can rewrite the likelihood function (2.5) approximately as;

$$\hat{L}(B, \tau | S_n) \propto \tau^{(n-m)/2} \exp(-\tau/2) (Y - Y_{t-1} \beta_1 - X_t \beta_2 - \hat{E}_{t-1} \beta_3)' (Y - Y_{t-1} \beta_1 - X_t \beta_2 - \hat{E}_{t-1} \beta_3) \dots (2.7)$$

$$L(B, \tau | S_n) \propto \tau^{(n-m)/2}$$

$$\exp(-\tau/2)(Y - Y_{t-1} \cdot \beta_1 - X_t \cdot \beta_2 - E_{t-1} \cdot \beta_3)' (Y - Y_{t-1} \cdot \beta_1 - X_t \cdot \beta_2 - E_{t-1} \cdot \beta_3) \dots (2.5)$$

where

$$B = (\beta_1' : \beta_2' : \beta_3')'$$

is a $K \cdot 1$ vector of parameters, $\tau > 0$,

$$S_n = \begin{bmatrix} Y_0 & | & X_0 \\ \hline Y & | & X \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = p + P + pP + h + H + hH + q + Q + qQ + 1$$

$Y = (y_{m+1} \quad y_{m+2} \quad \dots \quad y_n)'$ is the vector of $n-m$ observations on y ,

$X = (x_{m+1} \quad x_{m+2} \quad \dots \quad x_n)'$ is the vector of $n-m$ observations on x ,

$$m = \max(p + Ps, h + Hs)$$

The likelihood function (2.5) is conditioned on the starting values

$$Y_0 = (Y_m \quad Y_{m-1} \quad \dots \quad Y_{m-p-Ps+1})'$$

$$X_0 = (X_m \quad X_{m-1} \quad \dots \quad X_{m-h-Hs+1})', \text{ and}$$

$$E_0 = (e_m \quad e_{m-1} \quad \dots \quad e_{m-q-Qs+1})'$$

However the conditional likelihood function (2.5) is analytically intractable because there is no closed form for the precision matrix or for the determinant of the covariance matrix in terms of the parameters directly. Further more, with any prior distribution the predictive densities are not standard which mean that inferences about the future observations must be done numerically. For the above reasons a simple analytic form for the the likelihood function is needed.

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_t = & \begin{bmatrix}
 X_{m+1} \dots\dots\dots X_n \\
 X_m \dots\dots\dots X_{n-1} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 X_{m-h+1} \dots\dots\dots X_{n-h} \\
 X_{m-s+1} \dots\dots\dots X_{n-s} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 X_{m-Hs+1} \dots\dots\dots X_{n-Hs} \\
 X_{m-s} \dots\dots\dots X_{n-s-1} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 X_{m-h-Hs+1} \dots\dots\dots X_{n-h-Hs}
 \end{bmatrix} \\
 E_{t-1} = & \begin{bmatrix}
 e_m \dots\dots\dots e_{n-1} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 e_{m-q+1} \dots\dots\dots e_{n-q} \\
 e_{m-s+1} \dots\dots\dots e_{n-s} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 e_{m-Qs+1} \dots\dots\dots e_{n-Qs} \\
 e_{m-s} \dots\dots\dots e_{n-s-1} \\
 \dots\dots\dots \\
 e_{m-q-Qs+1} \dots\dots\dots e_{n-q-Qs}
 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

.....(2.4)

Assuming that the errors (E_t) are normal random variables with mean vector zero and precision matrix I_τ , I is an identity matrix, $\tau > 0$, we can write the conditional likelihood function as;

The class of models (2.1) may be written in a matrix notation as:

$$Y = Y_{t-1} \cdot \beta_1 + X_t \cdot \beta_2 + E_{t-1} \cdot \beta_3 + E_t \quad \dots\dots\dots (2.3)$$

where :

$$Y = (y_{m+1} \quad y_{m+2} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_n)'$$

$$E_t = (e_{m+1} \quad e_{m+2} \quad \dots\dots\dots e_n)'$$

$$\beta_1 = (\phi_1 \quad \dots \quad \phi_p \quad \Phi_1 \quad \dots \quad \Phi_p \quad \alpha_{11} \quad \dots \quad \alpha_{pp})'$$

$$\beta_2 = (\omega_0 \quad \dots \quad \omega_h \quad \Omega_1 \quad \dots \quad \Omega_H \quad \beta_{11} \quad \dots \quad \beta_{hH})'$$

$$\beta_3 = (\theta_1 \quad \dots \quad \theta_q \quad \Theta_1 \quad \dots \quad \Theta_Q \quad \gamma_{11} \quad \dots \quad \gamma_{qQ})'$$

$$Y_{t-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_m \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-1} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ y_{m-p+1} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-p} \\ y_{m-s+1} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-s} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ y_{m-p_s+1} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-p_s} \\ y_{m-s} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-s-1} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ y_{m-p-p_s+1} \quad \dots\dots\dots y_{n-p-p_s} \end{bmatrix}$$

2- THE SEASONAL ARMAX MODEL AND THE LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION

The seasonal ARMAX class of models may be defined as:

$$\phi(B) \Phi(B^s) y_t = \omega(B) \Omega(B^s) x_t + \theta(B) \Theta(B^s) e_t \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.1)$$

where :

y_t is the observation at time t , $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$

x_t 's are exogenous variables independent of e_t

e_t 's are a sequence of independent random variables with mean zero and precision $\tau > 0$, $\phi(B)$, $\omega(B)$, $\theta(B)$ are polynomials in B of orders p, h and q respectively, $\Phi(B^s)$, $\Omega(B^s)$, and $\Theta(B^s)$ are polynomials in B^s of orders P, H and Q respectively i.e

$$\phi(B) = 1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2 - \dots - \phi_p B^p$$

$$\Phi(B^s) = 1 - \Phi_1 B^s - \Phi_2 B^{2s} - \dots - \Phi_P B^{Ps}$$

$$\omega(B) = \omega_0 - \omega_1 B - \omega_2 B^2 - \dots - \omega_h B^h$$

$$\Omega(B^s) = 1 - \Omega_1 B^s - \Omega_2 B^{2s} - \dots - \Omega_H B^{Hs}$$

$$\theta(B) = 1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \dots - \theta_q B^q$$

$$\Theta(B^s) = 1 - \Theta_1 B^s - \Theta_2 B^{2s} - \dots - \Theta_Q B^{Qs}$$

and the backshift operator B is such that :

$$B^r y_t = y_{t-r}, \quad r=0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.2)$$

s is the number of the seasons.

The series generated by model (2.1) is assumed to be stationary - perhaps after an appropriate transformation - and there is no feedback from the output (y_t) to the input (x_t) variables i.e x_t 's are exogenous.

1-INTRODUCTION

Forecasting the future values of an observed time series is very important in many areas, including business, economics, production planning, quality control, environmental studies and others. The time series analysis of the seasonal ARMAX model have been discussed in great detail - from a non-Bayesian viewpoint - in many text and surveys. Some of these text and surveys are : Gaynor and Krikpatrick (1994), McGraw et al(1993), Lutkepohl(1993), Mills(1991), wei(1990), Bierens(1987), Spanos(1986), Judge et al (1985), Hendry et al(1984), Deistler (1980), Box and Jenkins (1976), Nerlove(1972), and others. On the other hand the Bayesian analysis of the ARMAX model is unknown because of the complexity of the likelihood function.

Through this paper a Bayesian procedure to forecast the future values of time series generated by seasonal autoregressive moving average model with exogenous variable, abbreviately seasonal ARMAX model, will be introduced. The predictive density of the future observations is the Bayesian tool to achieve this goal. The marginal predictive density of the first future observation will be derived. Also the conditional predictive densities of the observations at higher lags will be derived. In addition a highest predictive density regions (HPD regions) for the future observations will be constructed.